Stability behaviour and results of a transient boundary element method for exterior radiation problems
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Based on the Helmholtz integral equation, a boundary element method in time domain (TD-BEM) can be formulated. Because of instability issues, this method is rarely used in numerical acoustics. The stability and accuracy of the method for exterior radiation problems is investigated using some simple examples. A connection between the internal resonances of the closed structure and the unstable behaviour of the method is assumed, but it is mathematically unproven. Numerical evidence of this connection is presented. Because of the sparse structure of the resulting system matrix, the use of iterative solvers is advantageous. For testing purposes, the acoustic radiation from an open turbulent flame is calculated and compared with results of a frequency domain BEM calculation.

1 Introduction

The boundary element method (BEM) is a widely used numerical tool. Calculations may be performed in the frequency domain (FD) or in the time domain (TD). The fundamentals of the TD-BEM were developed in 1960 from Friedman and Shaw [1] and later in 1968 from Cruse and Rizzo [2]. The FD-BEM is limited to the simulation of stationary processes. Instationary processes like moving sources, engine acceleration, impulses etc. must be simulated in time domain. Mansur [3] developed one of the first boundary element formulations in the time domain for the scalar wave equation and for elastodynamics with zero initial conditions. The extension of this formulation to non-zero initial conditions was presented by Antes [5]. Later Jäger [6] and Antes and Baaran [7] extended the time domain formulations to analyze 3D noise radiation caused by moving sources. Since then this method is subject to continuous enhancement, whereby however the frequency range algorithms exhibit a clear lead in development.

2 NUMERICAL MODEL

A detailed derivation of the boundary integral equation used here can be found e.g. in Meise [4].

\[ 4\pi dp(x, t) = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{r} q(y, t - r/c) d\Gamma_y + \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial r}{\partial n_y} \left[ \frac{1}{r^2} p(y, t - r/c) + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial p(y, t - r/c)}{\partial t} \right] d\Gamma_y. \]  

(1)

\( p \) denotes the sound pressure, \( r = |x - y| \) the distance between the observation point \( x \) and source point \( y \) and \( c \) the speed of sound. \( \Gamma \) is the boundary surface and \( q \) is the flux, following the relationship derived from Euler's equation

\[ q(x, t) = -g \frac{\partial v_n(x, t)}{\partial t}. \]  

(2)

To obtain the time-discretized version of Eq. (1) time itself is discretized in equidistant time steps \( t_i = i\Delta t \); \( i = 1, 2, \ldots \) and we get for the retarded time \( t_{ri} = i\Delta t - \tau \). The boundary surface \( \Gamma \) is divided into \( N \) planar elements with a uniform spatial pressure and flux distribution. For \( q \) a constant approach and for \( p \) a linear approach in time are chosen:

\[ p(y, t_{ri}) = \sum_{m=1}^{i} \left( \frac{t_m - t_{ri}}{\Delta t} p_{m-1} + \frac{t_{ri} - t_{m-1}}{\Delta t} p_m \right) \Psi(t_{ri}) \]  

(4)

with \( t_{m-1} \leq t_{ri} \leq t_m \). \( \dot{p} \) is approximated by a backward difference scheme.

\[ \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = \sum_{m=1}^{i} \left( \frac{p_m - p_{m-1}}{\Delta t} \right) \Psi(t_{ri}). \]  

(5)

\[ \Psi(t_{ri}) = \begin{cases} 1 & ; t_{ri} \in [t_{m-1}, t_m) \\ 0 & ; else \end{cases} \]  

(6)

With these approaches one can derive the following integral equation

\[ 4\pi dp_i(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{i} \left[ \int_{\Gamma_n} \frac{1}{r} q^m_n \Psi(t_{ri}) d\Gamma_y \right. \\ + \left. \int_{\Gamma_n} \frac{\partial r}{\partial n_y} \left[ (i - m + 1)c \dot{p}^m_n - (i - m)p^m_{n-1} \right] \Psi(t_{ri}) d\Gamma_y \right]. \]  

(7)

The Collocation method is used to derive a corresponding linear system of equations. Compared to the FD-BEM the resulting matrices are very sparse, because for each time step the integration must only be performed over the intersection of the boundary \( \Gamma \) with a spherical shell with inner and outer radius \( (i - m)c\Delta t \) and \( (i - m + 1)c\Delta t \) respectively as shown in Fig.1. A difficulty that arises from that condition is that one must integrate only over a part of an element. In FD-BEM on the other hand integration is always performed over the whole element. Due to the sparse system matrix the use of iterative methods is favourable. The method of conjugated gradients and the LSQR method supplied good results.

3 Accuracy and stability

A common problem of the TD-BEM reported in the literature is the occurrence of spurious instabilities. In case of instability the results start to oscillate at high frequency with exponentially rising amplitude. The unstable behaviour depends on the ratio of time step size \( \Delta t \) and element size \( h \). Defining \( \beta = c\Delta t/h \) one observes that one gets accurate and stable results, only if \( \beta \) lies in a certain interval.

The stability and the accuracy of the method are investigated using some simple example. The sound radiated
by a harmonic pulsating sphere of radius $r = 1\text{m}$ consisting of 296 elements is calculated for different time steps as shown in Fig. 2. A comparison with the analytic solution shows that in a range of $0.37 < \beta < 1.52$ around the optimal value of $\beta = 1$ one receives correct results. Outside this range results are still stable but inaccurate. For small $\beta$ this inaccuracy may result from an insufficient Gauss Order of the numerical integration, because for each time step only a small fraction of an element has to be considered. For large $\beta$ the time step is getting too large to properly resolve the amplitude of the oscillation and very large time steps can not resolve the oscillation at all.

Furthermore the numerical results show that the sound radiated by the sphere is strongly influenced by the natural frequencies of the structure (see Fig. 3). This connection however is still mathematically unproven. The influence of the natural frequencies depends strongly on $\beta$. Small changes of $\beta$, can change the influence of the natural frequencies substantially. In general it can be said that at small values the influence of the natural frequencies is particularly strong. Nevertheless in none of the examined cases an unstable behavior, like an exponential rise of the sound pressure, could be observed.

The problem of the natural frequencies is well known in frequency domain calculation, also called the nonuniqueness problem. Various methods have been derived to find a modified equation with a valid solution for all frequencies. Well known approaches are the combined field integral equation by Burton and Miller [9] and the combined Helmholtz integral equation formulation (CHIEF) by Schenk [10]. For time domain calculations first Ergin [11] in 1999 and later Chappell et al [12] in 2006 used a Burton-Miller approach to avoid the above described problem.

### 4 Test case - Combustion Noise

For test purposes the sound radiation of an open turbulent flame was computed and compared with simulation results of a FD-BEM. This data were available from the research project Combustion Noise [8], supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG). Turbulent $H_2/N_2$ jet flames, referred to as H3 and HD flame, were simulated with an incompressible Large Eddy Simulation (LES). The values of the velocity field at cylindrical surfaces surrounding the flames delivered by the LES were used as input data to determine the radiated sound. Based on the velocity data the boundary element method is able to determine the acoustical field outside the control surface by evaluating the surface data. For the FD-BEM the temporal signal from the LES calculation had to be converted to the frequency domain by Fast Fourier Transform (FFT).

The results for two different flame types are shown in Fig. 4. Comparison of the TD-BEM and the FD-BEM results show quite good agreement in the frequency range between 400-3000Hz. Only in the lower frequency range up to 400 Hz the sound power density calculated by the TD-BEM is strongly overestimated. Above 3 kHz the time step size is to small to properly resolve the time signal. So the error in amplitude is growing with frequency. Simulation results for the HD-Flame agree well with the measured data, however the simulation results for the H3-Flame differ notably from the measured spectra. A further discussion of the differences between simulations and measurement can be found in Flemming et al. [13] and Piscoya et al. [14].

### 5 Conclusion

The TD-BEM is a promising method for the computation of the transient sound radiation. Numerical and real world test cases show the reliability and stability of this method. In order to ensure accurate sim-
ulation results, a method must be developed to regularise the method at the natural frequencies. The use of the Burton-Miller type integral equation would be favourable, and results will be presented in one of the next publications.
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